

Valerie Meyers, Ph.D., DABT

# OCULAR TOXICITY TESTING OF LUNAR DUST

# OECD Recommendations

- ◎ OECD 405 – Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion (adopted April 2002)
  - “In the interest of both sound science and animal welfare, *in vivo* testing should not be considered until all available data relevant to the potential eye corrosivity/irritation of the substance has been evaluated in a weight-of-the-evidence analysis.”

# OECD Recommendations

## ◎ Recommended Steps

- Evaluate existing human and animal data
  - None available
- Analyze structure activity relationships
  - Lunar dust has unique morphological characteristics
- Evaluate physicochemical properties and chemical reactivity
  - No pH extremes or buffering capacity

# OECD Recommendations

- ⦿ OECD Recommended Steps (cont.)
  - Consider other existing information (systemic toxicity)
    - Instillation data (Lam-unpublished data) suggest inflammatory reaction but no corrosivity
  - **Consider results from *in vitro* or *ex vivo* tests**
  - Assess *in vivo* dermal irritancy or corrosivity

# Stillmeadow, Inc.

- AAALAC (Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care) accredited
- Approved Animal Welfare Assurance on file with NIH
- Located in Sugarland, TX

# EpiOcular

- ⦿ Manufactured by MatTek
- ⦿ Tissues derived from normal, human epidermal keratinocytes
- ⦿ Cells are differentiated on cell culture inserts to form a multi-layered structure which closely parallels the corneal epithelium

# Method

- ⦿ Tissue dosed with 100 mg dust
  - Lunar dust
  - Amorphous silica
  - SDS
  - Sodium hydroxide
- ⦿ Positive control = 0.3% Triton X 100
- ⦿ Negative control = DI water

# Method (cont.)

- ⦿ 3 exposure times
  - 3 minutes
  - 30 minutes
  - 60 minutes
- ⦿ PBS wash
- ⦿ 10 minute incubation in culture media
- ⦿ 3 hour incubation in MTT
- ⦿ Overnight immersion in extractant solution



# Method (cont.)

- Optical density of 200  $\mu\text{L}$  of each replicate recorded at 570 and 630 nm
- ET-50 calculated based on the manufacturer's spreadsheet
- Each dust assigned an irritancy classification based on ET-50

# Irritancy Classifications

Non-irritating/minimal	>60 minutes
Mild	30-60 minutes
Moderate	3-29.9 minutes
Severe/Extreme	<3 minutes

# Results

	Lunar Dust	Neg. Control	Pos. Control	NaOH	SDS	Am. silica
3 min	1.117	N/A	0.931	0.014	0.275	1.465
30 min	1.023	N/A	0.548	0.011	0.029	1.288
60 min	1.013	1.077	0.187	0.010	0.012	1.449
ET-50	>60 min	N/A	30.6 min	<3 min	<3 min	>60 min
Irritancy	Minimal	N/A	Mild	Severe	Severe	Minimal

# Conclusions

- *In vitro* study provides evidence that lunar dust is not severely corrosive or irritating

HOWEVER,

- *In vitro/ex vivo* tests
  - Have a high false negative rates for solids (nearly 50%) – OECD 437
  - Consider only corneal injury
  - Assess only a single endpoint (cell death)

WHEREAS

- *In vivo* tests
  - Provide more realistic response scenario (tearing, inflammatory response, etc.)
  - Allow evaluation of conjunctiva, iris, and cornea as well as the lids and surrounding tissue
  - Provide information about chemical AND mechanical irritation

THEREFORE,

- *In vivo* testing is recommended and approval is pending

# Future Plans

- ⦿ Number of animals
  - 3 rabbits planned
    - Additional animals may be needed if a weak or moderate response is noted
- ⦿ Particle Size
  - Median diameter = 51  $\mu\text{m}$
- ⦿ Amount of Dust
  - 70 mg/rabbit based on bulk density estimate (0.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup>)
- ⦿ Washed vs Unwashed
  - Washed at 1 hr
    - recommended by OECD 405
    - Reflects normal procedure
    - Reduces possibility of permanent eye damage